Complexity of the Mixed Postman Problem with Restrictions on the Edges

7th ENC, September 18-22, 2006 San Luis Potosí, Mexico

> Francisco Javier Zaragoza Martínez UAM Azcapotzalco, Mexico

> > franz@correo.azc.uam.mx

Complexity of the Mixed Postman Problem with Restrictions on the Edges -p. 1/

Contents

Mixed Graphs and Postman Tours

- Arcs Postman Problem
- Computational Complexity
- Necessary Conditions for Feasibility
- Conclusions

Mixed Graphs and Postman Tours

A mixed graph M = (V, E, A) consists of sets V of vertices, E of edges, and A of arcs.

- A *postman tour* of *M* is a closed walk *W* that traverses all vertices, edges, and arcs of *M*.
- An *arcs postman tour* of *M* traverses each edge of *M* exactly once.

An Arcs Postman Tour



Figure 1: Mixed graph and arcs postman tour

Arcs Postman Problem

Given a strongly connected mixed graph M = (V, E, A) and a vector $c \in \mathbb{Q}_+^A$, the *cost* of an *arcs postman tour* T of M is the sum of the costs of the arcs traversed by T.

• **Problem:** Obtain the minimum cost MAPT(M, c) of an arcs postman tour of M.

Computational Complexity

It is NP-complete to decide whether a mixed graph M = (V, E, A) has an arcs postman tour (reduction from not-all-equal satisfiability).

- The arcs postman problem (minimization) can be solved in polynomial time (for example, using linear programming methods) if:
 - \blacksquare M is series-parallel,
 - $\blacksquare M$ is Eulerian, or
 - D = (V, A) is a forest.

Variable Gadget



Figure 2: The subgraph G_j for $q_j = 4$.

Clause Gadget



Figure 3: The clause subgraph H_i .

Connecting the Gadgets



Figure 4: An instance of arcs postman tour.

Necessary Conditions (Veerasamy)

If M = (V, E, A) has an arcs postman tour then:
M is connected,

- for all *outgoing* $S \subseteq V$ there are more edges *crossing* S than arcs *leaving* S, and
- for all *undirected* $S \subseteq V$ there is an even number of edges *crossing* S.
- **These conditions are independent.**
- **These conditions can be tested in polynomial time.**
- **These conditions are not sufficient.**

Stronger Necessary Conditions (1)

For $S \subseteq V$ let $\operatorname{sur}_M(S) = d_E(S) - d_A(S)$.

Assume M has an arcs postman tour with edges oriented as in I.

If $S \subseteq V$ is outgoing then

$$d_I(\bar{S}) \ge d_A(S) + d_I(S)$$

and therefore

 $d_E(S) = d_I(S) + d_I(\bar{S}) \ge d_A(S) + 2d_I(S),$ which implies that

 $d_I(S) \le \lfloor \frac{1}{2} (d_E(S) - d_A(S)) \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sur}_M(S) \rfloor.$

Stronger Necessary Conditions (2)

Similarly, if $T \subseteq V$ is outgoing then $d_I(T) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sur}_M(T) \rfloor.$ Adding these two inequalities we get $d_I(S) + d_I(T) \le \left| \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sur}_M(S) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sur}_M(T) \right|.$ • Observe that a lower bound for $d_I(S) + d_I(T)$ is the number of edges between $S \setminus T$ and $T \setminus S$. Therefore $|E(S \setminus T, T \setminus S)| \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sur}_M(S) \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sur}_M(T) \rfloor.$

Stronger Necessary Conditions (3)

Our condition is stronger and generalizes the outgoing and undirected conditions of Veerasamy.

Our condition can also be tested in polynomial time (using *minimum-odd-cut* and *flow* subroutines).

Our condition is not sufficient:



Figure 5: A graph with no arcs postman tour.

Conclusions and Further Work

- Strenghten the complexity results for the arcs postman problem (in both minimization and feasibility versions).
- Find classes of mixed graphs for which there are approximation algorithms for the arcs postman problem.
- Find (faster) algorithms to test our necessary condition(s).
- Find classes of mixed graphs for which our necessary condition is also sufficient.